

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,559	03/24/2004	Vincent K. Jones	070915B1/QUALP693USA	8004
70797 Amin Turocy	7590 11/15/2007 & Calvin LLP		EXAMINER .	
Amin, Turocy & Calvin LLP 1900 E. 9th Street 24th Floor, National City Center Cleveland, OH 44114			TRAN, KHAI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cieveland, OII 44114		•	2611	
		•		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketl@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com osteuball@thepatentattorneys.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/809,559	JONES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KHAI TRAN	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir-  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 August 2007</u> .						
•=	,_					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-23 and 25-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 14-23,25-32 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	Patent Application				

10/809,559 Art Unit: 2611

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/27/2007 has been entered. Claims 14-23, 25-32 are pending in this Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 14-15, 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scheibel et al (U.S. Pat. 6,212,240).

Regarding claim 14, Scheibel discloses a method of wireless communication between a first station and a second station, the method comprising:

at the first station, transmitting data packets to the second station using a first data modulation and a first data rate, wherein the first modulation and the first data rate are predetermined using one or more attributes of the first station and the second station (abstract, Figures 1-3, col. 2 lines 19-44, col. 3 lines 42-47, col. 4 lines 23-49);

10/809,559 Art Unit: 2611

at the first station, transmitting acknowledgement packets to the second station in response to data packets received from the second station, using a first acknowledgement modulation and a first acknowledgement rate, wherein the first acknowledgement modulation and the first acknowledgement rate are predetermined using one or more attributes of the first station and the second station (abstract, Figures 1-3, col. 2 lines 19-44, col. 3 lines 42-47, col. 4 lines 23-49);

at the second station, transmitting data packets to the first station using a second data modulation and a second data rate, wherein the first modulation and the first data rate are predetermined using one or more attributes of the first station and the second station (abstract, figures 1-3, col. 2 lines 19-44, col. 3 lines 42-47, col. 4 lines 23-49); and

at the second station, transmitting acknowledgement packets to the first station in response to the data packets received from the first station, using a second acknowledgement modulation and a second acknowledgement rate, wherein the first acknowledgement modulation and the first acknowledgement rate are predetermined using one or more attributes of the first station and the second station (abstract, Figures 1-3, col. 2 lines 19-44, col. 3 lines 42-47, col. 4 lines 23-49),

wherein the first data rate is distinct from at least one of the second data rate, the first acknowledgement rate, or the second acknowledgement rate (abstract, Figures 1-3, col. 2 lines 19-44, col. 3 lines 42-47, col. 4 lines 23-49; where elements 101 and 107 of Figure 1 have the same functionality and thus one device being at a certain and the

10/809,559

Art Unit: 2611

other at a lower rate is being interpreted as wherein the first data rate is distinct from at least one of the second data rate).

Regarding claim 15, Scheibel further discloses wherein the first data modulation is distinct from at least one of the second data modulation, the first acknowledgement modulation, or the second acknowledgement modulation (abstract, Figures 1-3, col. 3 lines 42-47).

Regarding claim 25, Scheibel in view of Keaney discloses all limitations of claim 25 as analyzed in claim 14 above.

Regarding claim 26, Scheibel in view of Keaney discloses all limitations of claim 26 as analyzed in claim 14 above, except the fourth modulation, Scheibel discloses that figure 4 can include a fourth (or more) modulation (col. 7 lines 1-10).

Regarding claims 27-28, Scheibel discloses the first wireless protocol and the third wireless communications protocols are different wireless communications protocols (abstract, Figures 1-3, col. 2 lines 19-44, col. 3 lines 42-47, col. 4 lines 23-49, col. 7 lines 1-10).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject

10/809,559 Art Unit: 2611

matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 16- 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scheibel et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,212,240) in view of Keaney et al. (U.S. Pat. 7,062,703).

Regarding claim 16, Scheibel discloses the first data modulation, the second data modulation, the first acknowledgement modulation, and the second acknowledgement modulation are selected from and different QAM rates and a QPSK rate (col. 3 lines 42-47). However, Scheibel does not disclose 802.11b and OFDM. In the same field of endeavor, however, Keaney discloses the use of 802.11b and OFDM (figures 1, 3, col. 1 lines 12-17, col. 3 lines 35-43, col. 6 lines 6-14, col. 7 line 66 to col. 8 line 11). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention was made to use 802.11b and OFDM as taught by Keaney in the system of Scheibel to allow for a more diverse system. Also, OFDM is a robust technique for efficiently transmitting data over a channel. The technique uses a plurality of sub-carrier frequencies (sub-carriers) within a channel bandwidth to transmit data. These subcarriers are arranged for optimal bandwidth efficiency compared to conventional frequency division multiplexing (FDM) which can waste portions of the channel bandwidth in order to separate and isolate the sub-carrier frequency spectra and thereby avoid intercarrier interference (ICI). OFDM allows resolution and recovery of the information that has been modulated onto each sub-carrier. Also, 802.11b provides high data transfer rate (which provides a higher bandwidth availability) and a frequency jumping technique.

10/809,559 Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 17, Scheibel discloses at least one of the first data modulation, the second data modulation, the first acknowledgement modulation, and the second acknowledgement modulation is an QAM and at least one of the modulations is an QPSK modulation (col. 3, lines 42-47). However, Scheibel does not disclose 802.11b and OFDM.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Keaney discloses the use of 802.11b and OFDM (figures 1, 3, col. 1 lines 12-17, col. 3 lines 35-43, col. 6 lines 6-14, col. 7 lines 66 to col. 8 line 11).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention was made to use 802.11b and OFDM as taught by Keaney in the system of Scheibel to allow for a more diverse system. Also, OFDM is a robust technique for efficiently transmitting data over a channel. The technique uses a plurality of sub-carrier frequencies (sub-carriers) within a channel bandwidth to transmit data. These sub-carriers are arranged for optimal bandwidth efficiency compared to conventional frequency division multiplexing (FDM) which can waste portions of the channel bandwidth in order to separate and isolate the sub-carrier frequency spectra and thereby avoid intercarrier interference (ICI). OFDM allows resolution and recovery of the information that has been modulated onto each sub-carrier. Also, 802.11b provides high data transfer rate (which provides a higher bandwidth availability) and a frequency jumping technique.

10/809,559 Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 18, Scheibel in view of Keaney discloses all limitations of claim 18 as analyzed in claims 14-17 above.

Regarding claims 19-22, Scheibel in view of Keaney discloses different data, ack rates, data modulation, ack. modulation as shown above. Scheibel in view of Keaney are not explicit about the first data rate and the first ack. rate being different rates selected from the 802.1 lb rates; the first data modulation and the first acknowledgement modulation are different modulations selected from the 802.11b modulations; the second data rate and the second acknowledgement rate are different rates selected from the OFDM rates; and the second data modulation and the second acknowledgement modulation are different modulations selected from the OFDM modulations.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use have these different rates and modulations of Scheibel and Keaney to be used to have the first data rate and the first ack rate being different rates selected from the 802.1 lb rates; the first data modulation and the first acknowledgement modulation are different modulations selected from the 802.11b modulations; the second data rate and the second acknowledgement rate are different rates selected from the OFDM rates; and the second data modulation and the second acknowledgement modulation are different modulations selected from the OFDM modulations to provide the advantage of providing a higher bandwidth availability.

10/809,559 Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 23, Scheibel in view of Keaney discloses the first station comprises a power-constrained device with limited transmission power and the second station comprises a non-power-constrained device (Scheibel: figure 1; Keaney: Figures 1, 3).

6. Claims 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scheibel et al. (US 6212240).

Regarding claims 29-32, Scheibel discloses a first and second station but does not explicitly state that one station has a weaker transmitter or a more sensitive receiver than the other. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention was made to use a weaker transmitter for power consumption and to use a more sensitive receiver to provide variable data rates as Scheibel does in order to have more efficient use of bandwidth.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3019. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Payne can be reached on (571) 272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/809,559 Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mwavanaf KHAI TRAN Primary Examiner Art Unit 2611

KT November 08, 2007